Parametric explanations in Scandinavian syntax

Since the 1980's, much of the diachronic and synchronic variation within the syntax of Nordic languages has been explained by assuming a number of parameters. Holmberg & Platzack (1995), being one of the most important contributions to the parametric approach to Scandinavian syntax, argue that an agreement parameter and a case parameter account for at least fourteen syntactic distinctions between Mainland Scandinavian (Swedish, Danish, Norwegian) and Insular Scandinavian (Icelandic, Faroese, Old Scandinavian). The differences in question are for instance the presence or absence of V-to-I movement, null expletives, nonnominative subjects, DP-object shift, transitive expletives, and of Stylistic Fronting. The presence of these features in a language (group) is further connected to the presence of overt verb agreement and case morphology.

However, during the past years, the parametric approach has been under criticism, among other things for its reputed inability to make cross-linguistic predictions (e.g. Newmeyer 2004, 2006), but also for more theoretical reasons (e.g. Boeckx 2010, 2012). Parametric clustering, that is a number of syntactic properties depending on one parameter, has though been claimed for Scandinavian languages recently by Holmberg (2010a, b) and by Gunnar Hrafn Hrafnbjargarson & Wiklund (2010), while Koeneman & Zeijlstra (2012) have resurrected the Rich Agreement Hypothesis.

In my talk, I will show that the parametric clustering is difficult to prove also for Scandinavian languages, in contrast with what have been claimed. The data are collected from a number of closely related varieties of Scandinavian, i.e. the Swedish Ovansiljan-vernaculars, Norwegian dialects, and various stages of Swedish. Such closely related varieties are often said to provide an ideal testing ground for parametric hypotheses.

Finally, I will ask the question how much of the Scandinavian syntax variation can be explained by the parametric approach and whether there are other alternative explanations.

References:

- Boeckx, Cedric. 2010. What Principles and Parameters Got Wrong. Ms. http://ling.auf.net/lingBuzz/001118
- Boeckx, Cedric. 2012. Considerations pertaining to the nature of logodiversity, or How to construct a parametric space without parameters. Ms. http://ling.auf.net/lingBuzz/001453
- Gunnar Hrafn Hrafnbjargarson & Anna-Lena Wiklund 2010: AGR and V2. *Theoretical Linguistics* 36, 57–68.
- Holmberg, Anders & Christer Platzack. 1995. *The role of inflection in Scandinavian syntax*. New York/Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Holmberg, Anders. 2010a. Parameters in minimalist theory: The case of Scandinavian. *Theoretical Linguistics* 36: 1–48.
- Holmberg, Anders. 2010b. Replies to comments. *Theoretical Linguistics* 36: 85–91.
- Koeneman Olaf & Hedde Zeijlstra. 2012. *One law for the rich and another for the poor: The Rich Agreement Hypothesis rehabilitated.* Ms. http://ling.auf.net/lingBuzz/001462
- Newmeyer, Frederic. 2004. Against a parameter-setting approach to language variation.Linguistic Variation Yearbook 4:181-234.
- Newmeyer, Frederic. 2006. A rejoinder to 'On the role of parameters in Universal Grammar: a reply to Newmeyer' by Ian Roberts and Anders Holmberg. http://people.pwf.cam.ac.uk/mtb23/NSP/Rejoinder%20to%20R&H.pdf